arXiv:1606.08158v2 [math.DG] 24 Aug 2016

KÄHLER AND SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURES ON 4-MANIFOLDS AND HYPERKÄHLER GEOMETRY

VARUN THAKRE

ABSTRACT. A non-linear generalization of the Dirac operator in 4-dimensions, obtained by replacing the spinor representation with a hyperKähler manifold admitting certain symmetries, is considered. We show that the existence of a covariantly constant, generalized spinor defines a Kähler structure on the base 4-dimensional manifold. For a class of hyperKähler manifolds obtained via hyperKähler reduction, we also show that a harmonic spinor, under mild conditions, defines a symplectic structure. Finally, we show that if a covariantly constant, generalized spinor satisfies generalized Seiberg-Witten equations, the metric on the base manifold has a constant scalar curvature.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let (X, g_X) be a 4-dimensional, smooth, oriented Riemannian manifold and let $\pi : Q \longrightarrow X$ be a $Spin^c$-structure on X. The Levi-Civita connection on the frame bundle $\pi_{SO} : P_{SO(4)} \longrightarrow X$ and a connection A on the principal $U(1)$-bundle $\pi_{U(1)} : P_{U(1)} := Q/Spin(4) \longrightarrow X$ determine a unique connection A on Q. Let $W^{\pm} \longrightarrow X$ denote the associated positive and negative spinor bundles respectively and $\sigma : W^+ \longrightarrow \Lambda^2_+(X)$ denote the quadratic map. If $u \in \Gamma(X, W^+)$ is a non-vanishing section, then $\sigma \circ u$ defines a non-degenerate, self-dual 2-form on X. It was shown in [BLPR00, Sco02] independently that if $\nabla^{\mathsf{A}} u = 0$ for some $U(1)$-connection A, then $\sigma \circ u$ defines a Kähler structure on X, compatible with a metric $g'_X = c \cdot g_X, \quad c \in \mathbb{R}$. On the other hand, under mild conditions, it was shown that if u is a harmonic spinor, then $\sigma \circ u$ defines a symplectic structure on X. Scorpan [Sco02] gave a charaterization of the Kähler and symplectic 2-forms that lie in the image of the quadratic map.

Taubes introduced a non-linear generalization [Tau99] of the *Spin*-Dirac operator in dimension 3, wherein the spinor representation is replaced by a hyperKähler manifold (M, g_M, I_1, I_2, I_3) - also known as the *target hyperKähler manifold* - admitting an action of $Sp(1)$ that permutesthe 2-sphere of complex structures. *Generalized spinors* are defined to be sections of the associated fibre-bundle with a typical fibre M. The Dirac operator is replaced by a first-order, non-linear elliptic differential operator $\mathcal{D}$ for maps taking values in M. For a twisting principal G-bundle P_G, every connection A on P_G defines a twisted, generalized Dirac operator $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{A}}$. The idea was extended to dimension 4 by Pidstrygach [Pid04].

The current article investigates the role of certain special generalized spinors and hyperKähler manifolds in defining a Kähler or a symplectic structure on X.

Date: August 25, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C26, 53C27.

Key words and phrases. Spinor, four-manifold, hyperKähler manifolds, spin structures, symplectic, Kähler.

One way of obtaining hyperKähler manifolds with requisite properties, is via Swann's construction [Swa91]. Starting with a quaternionic Kähler manifold of positive scalar curvature, Swann's construction produces a hyperKähler manifold endowed with a permuting $Sp(1)$-action. The manifold is a fibration over the quaternionic Kähler manifold. Additionally an action of a Lie group G that preserves the quaternionic Kähler structure can be lifted to a hyperHamiltonian action on the hyperKähler manifold. For target hyperKähler manifolds obtained via Swann's construction, covariantly constant spinors define a Kähler structure on X:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{U}(N)$ denote the total space of a Swann bundle over some quaternionic Kähler manifold N with positive scalar curvature and assume that N admits an action of $U(1)$ that preserves the quaternionic Kähler structure. Let $\mu : M \longrightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(1)^*$ denote the associated hyperKähler $U(1)$-moment map and $u \in \mathrm{Map}(Q,\ \mathcal{U}(N))^{Spin^c}$ be a spinor whose range does not contain a fixed point of $U(1)$-action on $\mathcal{U}(N)$. If there exists a connection A on Q such that the covariant derivative $D_{\mathsf{A}}u = 0$, then, under the isomorphism $\Phi : \mathfrak{sp}(1)^* \to \Lambda^2_+(\mathbb{R}^4)^*$, $\omega := \Phi(\mu \circ u)$ defines a Kähler structure on X.*

Another way of constructing such hyperKähler manifolds is via hyperKähler reduction of $\mathbb{H}^n$ by a hyperHamiltonian action of a Lie group H. The technique due to Hitchin, Karlhede, Lindström and Roček [HKLR87], is an analogue of Marsden-Weinstein reduction for symplectic manifolds. It has proven to be quite useful in constructing highly non-trivial hyperKähler manifolds, starting from flat quaternionic spaces. If the usual action of $Sp(1)$ on $\mathbb{H}^n$ preserves the zero set $\mu_H^{-1}(0)$ of the H-moment map, then the action descends to a permuting action on the quotient $M_H := \mu_H^{-1}(0)/H$. Moreover, if there exists a hyperHamiltonian $U(1)$-action on $\mathbb{H}^n$ that commutes with H-action and preserves $\mu_H^{-1}(0)$, then it descends to a hyperHamiltonian action on M_H.

For $M = M_H$, the covariantly constant spinors define a Kähler structure on X:

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\mu : M_H \longrightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(1)^*$ denote a hyperKähler $U(1)$-moment map and $u \in \mathrm{Map}(Q, M_H)^{Spin^c}$ be a spinor whose range does not contain a fixed point of $U(1)$-action on M. If there exists a connection A on Q such that the covariant derivative $D_{\mathsf{A}}u = 0$, then, $\omega := \Phi(\mu \circ u)$ defines a Kähler structure on X.*

Morever, in this case, the harmonic spinors, under mild conditions, define a symplectic structure on X:

Theorem 1.3. *Let A, μ be as in Theorem 1.2. Let $u \in \mathrm{Map}(Q, M_H)^{Spin^c}$ be a spinor whose range does not contain a fixed point of $U(1)$-action on M_H. Assume that $D_{\mathsf{A}}u \perp \ker d\mu$. If $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{A}}u = 0$, then ω defines a symplectic structure on X.*

The layout of the article is as follows: Section 2 is divided into two parts. In the first part, sub-section 2.1, we introduce the preliminaries on hyperKähler manifolds and describe the two mentioned constructions; namely hyperKähler reduction and Swann's construction. In the second part, sub-section 2.2, we introduce the preliminaries needed in order to define the generalized Dirac operator. The details of some of the technical part in this section is left to the Appendix. Section 3, gives the proof of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3. Finally in Section 5, we prove that the $Spin^c$-structures defined by ω in both the situations is isomorphic to the one determined by g_X.

Acknowledgements. The ideas used in the second half of this article are a part of the author's doctoral dissertation. The author wishes to thank his advisor Prof. Dr. Viktor Ya. Pidstrygach for his encouragement and endless support. The author wishes to thank DFG (Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft) for financial support during his tenure as a doctoral student at Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen.

2. Preliminaries and Notations

2.1. **HyperKähler manifolds with permuting actions.** A $4n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g_M) is said to be *hyperKähler* if it is endowed with a set of almost-complex structures

$$I_i \in \mathrm{End}(TM), \quad i = 1, 2, 3, \quad I_i I_j = \delta_{ijk} I_k$$

that are covariantly constant with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. The quaternionic structure induces a covariantly constant algebra homomorphism

$$\mathbb{I} : \mathbb{H} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(TM), \quad \mathbb{I}_\xi := \mathbb{I}(\xi) = \xi_0 \,\mathrm{id}_{TM} + \xi_1 I_1 + \xi_2 I_2 + \xi_3 I_3 \quad \text{for} \quad \xi \in \mathbb{H} \tag{1}$$

Sitting inside the quaternion algebra, is the standard 2-sphere of purely imaginary quaternions

$$S^2 = \{\xi = \xi_1 i + \xi_2 j + \xi_3 k \mid |\xi| = 1\}$$

Every $\xi \in S^2$ defines a Kähler structure on M. In other words, M has an entire family of Kähler structures parametrized by $S^2 \in \mathfrak{Im}(\mathbb{H})$.

Definition 1. An isometric action of a smooth Lie group G on M is said to be *tri-holomorphic* if it fixes the 2-sphere of complex structures S^2; i.e,

$$Tg \; \mathbb{I}_\xi = \mathbb{I}_\xi \; Tg, \quad \text{for} \quad g \in G, \quad \xi \in S^2$$

In particular, G preserves the Kähler 2-forms $\omega_i = g_M(I_i(\cdot), \cdot)$, for $i = 1, 2, 3$. We can combine ω_i to define a single $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$-valued 2-form

$$\omega \in \mathfrak{sp}(1)^* \otimes \Lambda^2 M, \quad \omega_\xi := \langle \omega, \xi \rangle = g_M(\mathbb{I}_\xi(\cdot), \cdot)$$

Additionally, if all the three associated moment maps exist, then the action is said to be *tri-Hamiltonian*. Again, one can combine the three moment maps into one to define a $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$-valued map $\mu : M \longrightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(1)^* \otimes \mathfrak{g}^*$, that satisfies

(1) $d\mu = \iota_{\mathfrak{g}} \omega$
(2) $\mu(gh) = \mathrm{Ad}^*_g(\mu(h))$

The map μ is called a *hyperKähler moment map*.

Example 1. Consider $\mathbb{H}^n$ with the hyperKähler structure given by $(R_{\bar{i}}, R_{\bar{j}}, R_{\bar{k}})$. Let $Sp(n)$ denote the group of $\mathbb{H}$-linear isometries of $\mathbb{H}^n$ and $G \subset Sp(n)$.

The G-action on $\mathbb{H}^n$, given by left multiplication $G \times \mathbb{H}^n \ni (g, h) \longmapsto gh \in \mathbb{H}^n$ is a tri-holomorphic action with the hyperKähler moment map

$$\langle \mu(x), \xi \otimes \eta \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \xi x^\dagger \eta x$$

HyperKähler reduction. Many non-trivial examples of hyperKähler manifolds can be constructed via hyperKähler reduction, from the quaternionic vector space $\mathbb{H}^n$. HyperKähler reduction is an extension of the well-known Marsden-Weinstein reduction for symplectic manifolds.

Suppose that M is endowed with a hyper-Hamiltonian action of a compact Lie group H. Let $\mu_H : M \longrightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(1)^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}^*$ be a hyperKähler moment map for the H-action and assume that $a \in \mathfrak{h}$ is invariant under the co-adjoint action of H. Then $\mu_H^{-1}(a)$ is an H-invariant submanifold of M.

Theorem 2.1. [HKLR87] *Let $a \in \mathfrak{h}$ be a central regular value of the moment map μ_H and assume that H acts freely and properly on $\mu_H^{-1}(a)$. Then the quotient $M_H := \mu_H^{-1}(a)/H$ is again a hyperKähler manifold.*

Example 2. Many interesting examples of hyperKähler manifolds fall under this category. To name a few

(1) Co-tangent bundles of complex Lie groups [Kro88, KS96]
(2) Co-adjoint orbits of semi-simple Lie groups [Kro90]
(3) Moduli space of framed, charge k instantons on S^4 [ADHM78]

Swann bundles. Let $Sp(1)$ denote the group of unit quaternions and $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$ its Lie algebra. Note that $\mathfrak{sp}(1) \cong \mathfrak{Im}(\mathbb{H})$. A *permuting action* of $Sp(1)$ or $SO(3)$ on M is an isometric action, such that the induced action on the 2-sphere of complex structures S^2 is the standard action of $Sp(1)$ or $SO(3)$ on S^2

$$Tq\ \mathbb{I}_\xi\ Tq^{-1} = \mathbb{I}_{q\xi\bar{q}}, \quad \text{for} \quad q \in Sp(1), \quad \xi \in S^2 \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1)$$

Amongst the hyperKähler manifolds admitting a permuting $Sp(1)$-action, there are those that also admit a *hyperKähler potential*. A hyperKähler potential is a real-valued function $\rho : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is a Kähler potential w.r.t all three complex structures simultaneously; i.e,

$$-d(\mathbb{I}_\xi(d\rho)) = 2\omega_\xi \quad \text{for} \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{sp}(1), \quad ||\xi||^2 = 1.$$

A *quaternionic Kähler manifold* N is a $4n$-dimensional manifold whose holonomy is contained in $Sp(n)Sp(1) := (Sp(n) \times Sp(1))/\pm 1$. Let F_N denote the reduction of the principal frame bundle $P_{SO(4n)}$ to $Sp(n)Sp(1)$-bundle over N. Then $\mathscr{S}(N) = F_N/Sp(n)$ is a principal $SO(3)$-bundle, which is a frame bundle of the three dimensional vector subbundle of skew symmetric endomorphisms of TN. The $Sp(1)$-action on $\mathbb{H}$ (by left multiplication) descends to an isometric action of $SO(3)$ on $\mathbb{H}^*/\pm 1$. The Swann bundle over N is defined to be the principal $\mathbb{H}^*/\mathbb{Z}_2$-bundle

$$\mathcal{U}(N) := \mathscr{S}(N) \times_{SO(3)} \mathbb{H}^*/\mathbb{Z}_2 \longrightarrow N$$

Theorem 2.2 ([Swa91])**.** *Let N be a quaternionic Kähler manifold with a positive scalar curvature. Then $\mathcal{U}(N)$ is a hyperKähler manifold with a free, permuting $Sp(1)$ action. Moreover, $\mathcal{U}(N)$ also admits a hyperKähler potential given by*

$$\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2}r^2$$

where r is the co-ordinate along $\mathbb{H}^*/\mathbb{Z}_2$. If N has an isometric action of a Lie group G that preserves the quaternionic Kähler structure, then the action can be lifted to a hyper-Hamiltonian action of G on $\mathcal{U}(N)$.

The hyperKähler potential on $\mathcal{U}(N)$ is quite special. Namely, if $\mathcal{X}_0 := \text{grad}\rho_0$ - also known as *Euler vector field* - the fundamental vector fields due to permuting $Sp(1)$-action satisfy:

$$\mathbb{I}_\xi K^M_\xi = -\mathcal{X}_0, \quad \xi \in S^2 \subset \mathfrak{sp}(1) \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_0 = \frac{1}{2} g_M(\mathcal{X}_0, \mathcal{X}_0) \tag{2}$$

The moment map for a hyper-Hamiltonian G-action on $\mathcal{U}(N)$ has a simple form [Sch10, Corollary 3.3.1]

$$\langle \mu, \xi \otimes \eta \rangle = -\frac{1}{2} g_M \left(K^M_\xi, K^M_\eta \right), \quad \xi \in \mathfrak{sp}(1), \quad \eta \in \mathfrak{g} \tag{3}$$

Example 3. The flat space $\mathbb{H}^{n^*} := \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$ is the total space of Swann bundle over $\mathbb{H}P^{n-1}$. Indeed, observe that $\mathbb{H}^{n^*} = Sp(n) \times \mathbb{R}^+$. A permuting $Sp(1)$-action on $\mathbb{H}^n$ is given by

$$Sp(1) \times \mathbb{H}^n \ni (q, h) \longmapsto h\bar{q} \in \mathbb{H}^n$$

The Euler vector field $\mathcal{X}_0 = \text{id}_{\mathbb{H}^n}$ and therefore, the hyperKähler potential

$$\rho_0(h) = \frac{1}{2}||h||^2.$$

On the other hand, consider a hyperKähler manifold M endowed with a permuting $Sp(1)$-action.

Theorem 2.3 ([Swa91])**.** *If M admits a hyperKähler potential $\rho_0 : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, then for $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $N := \rho_0^{-1}(c)/Sp(1)$ is a quaternionic Kähler manifold of positive scalar curvature. Consequently, M is the total space of a Swann bundle over N.*

Define $Spin^G_\varepsilon(4) := Spin(4) \times_{\mathbb{Z}_2} G$, where $\mathbb{Z}_2$ denotes an order 2-subgroup generated by $(-1, \varepsilon)$ with the central element $\varepsilon \in G$. An action of $Spin^G_\varepsilon(4)$ is said to be permuting if the action of $Sp(1)_+ \hookrightarrow Spin^G_\varepsilon(4)$ is permuting and the action of $Sp(1)_- \times G \hookrightarrow Spin^G_\varepsilon(4)$ is tri-holomorphic. For the rest of the article, we will study the case when $G = U(1)$.

2.2. **Generalized Dirac operator.** Fix a $Spin^c$-structure $\pi : Q \longrightarrow X$. The Levi-Civita connection ϕ on the frame bundle $P_{SO(4)}$ and a connection A on $P_{U(1)}$ uniquely define a connection A on Q. Let $\mathscr{A} \subset \Lambda^1(Q, \mathfrak{spin}(4))^{Spin^c}$ denote the space of all connections which are the lift of the Levi-Civita connection. Let M be a manifold admitting a permuting action of $Spin^c(4)$. We define the space of *generalized spinors* to be the space of smooth, equivariant maps $\mathcal{S} := \text{Map}(Q, M)^{Spin^c} \cong \Gamma(X, Q \times_{Spin^c} M)$.

The covariant derivative of a spinor $u \in \mathcal{S}$, w.r.t $\mathsf{A} \in \mathscr{A}$ is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} D_\mathsf{A} : C^\infty(Q, M)^{Spin^c} &\longrightarrow \text{Hom}(TQ, TM)^{Spin^c}_{hor} \cong C^\infty(Q, (\mathbb{R}^4)^* \otimes TM)^{Spin^c} \\ D_\mathsf{A} u &= du + K^M_\mathsf{A}|_u \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $K^M_\mathsf{A}|_u : TQ \to u^*TM$ is vector bundle homomorphism

$$K^M_\mathsf{A}|_u(v) = K^M_{A(v)}|_{u(p)}, \quad v \in T_pP$$

Alternatively, one can view the covariant derivative as

$$D_\mathsf{A} : C^\infty(Q, M)^{Spin^c} \longrightarrow C^\infty(Q, (\mathbb{R}^4)^* \otimes TM)^{Spin^c} \tag{5}$$

$$\langle D_\mathsf{A} u(p), w \rangle = du(p)(\tilde{w}) \tag{6}$$

where, $w \in \mathbb{R}^4$, $\tilde{w}$ denotes the horizontal lift of $\pi_{SO}(p)(w) \in T_{\pi(p)}X$.

Let $\psi : TTM \longrightarrow TM$ denote the Levi-Civita connector on M and $\nabla^{\mathsf{A},\psi}$, the linearization of D_{A} (see Appendix (B), Lemma (B.3))

$$\nabla^{\mathsf{A},\psi} : C^{\infty}(Q, TM)^{Spin^c} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(TQ, TM)^{Spin^c}_{hor} \tag{7}$$

The following Lemma is crucial to our construction in the next section

Lemma 2.4. [Sch10, Corollary 4.6.2] *Let M be a manifold with a permuting $Sp(1)$-action and a hyperKähler potential ρ_0 and let $\mathcal{X}_0 := grad\ \rho_0$. Let $u \in \mathcal{S}$. Then $\mathcal{X}_0 \circ u \in \Gamma(Q, u^*TM)^{Spin^c}$. For a connection A on Q,*

$$D_{\mathsf{A}}u = \nabla^{\mathsf{A},\psi}(\mathcal{X}_0 \circ u) \tag{8}$$

Clifford multiplication. Define W^+ to be the $Spin^c$-equivariant bundle $TM \longrightarrow M$ equipped with an action induced by $\vartheta_+ = [q_+q_-, g] \longmapsto [q_+, g]$. More precisely, for any $w_+ \in W^+$, the action is given by:

$$[q_+, q_-, g] \cdot w_+ = Tq_+Tgw_+.$$

Define the W^- to be the $Spin^c$-equivariant bundle $TM \longrightarrow M$ equipped with the following action:

$$[q_+, q_-, g] \cdot w_- = \mathbb{I}_{q_-}\mathbb{I}_{\bar{q}_+}Tq_+Tg\ w_-$$

Now Clifford multiplication is is a map of $Spin(4)$-representations

$$\mathfrak{m} : \mathbb{R}^4 \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(W^+ \oplus W^-)$$

We identify $\mathbb{R}^4$ with $\mathbb{H}$ by mapping the standard, oriented basis (e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) of $\mathbb{R}^4$, to $(1, \bar{i}, \bar{j}, \bar{k})$. The hyperKähler structure on $\mathbb{H}$ is given by $(R_{\bar{i}}, R_{\bar{j}}, R_{\bar{k}})$ and the $Spin^c$ action on $\mathbb{H}$ by $[q_+, q_-, g] \cdot h = q_-h\bar{q}_+$. Define the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{m} :& \mathbb{R}^4 \cong \mathbb{Q} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(W^+ \oplus W^-) \\ & h \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\mathbb{I}_{\bar{h}} \\ \mathbb{I}_h & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathfrak{m}(h)^2 = -g_{\mathbb{R}^4}(h, h) \cdot id_{W^+ \oplus W^-}$, by universality property, extends to a map of algebras $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}} : \mathcal{C}l_4 \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(W^+ \oplus W^-)$. Identifying $\mathbb{R}^4$ with $(\mathbb{R}^4)^*$ we define Clifford multiplication by:

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet : (\mathbb{R}^4)^* \otimes (W^+ \oplus W^-) &\longrightarrow W^+ \oplus W^- \\ g_{\mathbb{R}^4}(h, \cdot)(w_+, w_-) &\longmapsto \mathfrak{m}(h)(w_+, w_-). \end{aligned}$$

This map is $Spin^c$-equivariant [Sch10].

Composing Clifford multiplication $\mathfrak{c}$ with the covariant derivative, we get the *non-linear Dirac operator*:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{A}}u \in C^{\infty}(Q, u^*W^-)^{Spin^c} \tag{9}$$

More explicitly, from (5), we get

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{A}}(u) = \sum_{i=0}^{3} e_i \bullet D_{\mathsf{A}}u(\tilde{e_i}) \tag{10}$$

Let $\mathfrak{c}_u$ be the restriction of the Clifford multiplication $\mathfrak{c}$ to $u^*W^+ \oplus u^*W^-$. Consider the first-order differential operator

$$\mathcal{D}^{lin}_{\mathsf{A},u} := \mathfrak{c}_u \circ \nabla^{\mathsf{A},\psi} : u^*W^+ \oplus u^*W^- \to u^*W^+ \oplus u^*W^- \tag{11}$$

Lemma 2.5. [Sch10, Lemma 4.6.1] *The linearization of the generalized Dirac operator, at a point $(u, A) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathscr{A}$, coincides with the linear operator $\mathcal{D}^{lin}_{\mathsf{A},u}$.*

We are now in a position to state the Weitzenböck formula

Theorem 2.6. [Sch10, Theorem 4.7.1] *Weitzenböck formula for the generalized Dirac operator:*

$$\mathcal{D}^{lin,*}_{\mathsf{A},u}\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{A}}u = \nabla^{\mathsf{A},\psi,*}D_{\mathsf{A}}u + \frac{s_X}{4}\mathcal{X}_0 \circ u + \mathcal{Y}(F_A^+)|_u \tag{12}$$

where $\mathcal{D}^{lin,*}_{\mathsf{A},u} : T_u\mathcal{S} \to W^-$ *is the adjoint of the linearized Dirac operator,* s_X *is the scalar curvature of* X *and the vector field* $\mathcal{Y}(F_A^+)|_u = \sum_{l=1}^{3} I_l K^M_{\langle F_A^+,\xi_l\rangle}|_u$ *where* $\{\xi_l\}$ *is the basis of* $\mathfrak{sp}(1)$.

Generalized Seiberg-Witten equations. Let μ denote hyperKähler moment map for the hyperKähler $U(1)$-action on M and $F_{\mathsf{A}} \in \mathrm{Map}(Q, \Lambda^2(\mathbb{R}^4)^* \otimes \mathfrak{sp}(1))^{Spin^c}$ denote the curvature of the connection A. The *generalized Seiberg-Witten equations* for a pair $(u, \mathsf{A}) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathscr{A}$, in dimension 4, are

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{A}}u = 0 \\ F^+_{\mathsf{A}} - \mu \circ u = 0 \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

3. Kähler structure on 4-manifolds and Swann bundles

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1. Assume that $\mathcal{U}(N)$ is endowed with a hyper-Hamiltonian action of $U(1)$ and let $\mu : M \longrightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(1)^*$ denote the associated moment map (3). Observe that since the $Sp(1)$ action on $\mathcal{U}(N)$ is free, $\mathcal{X}_0 \circ u \in \Gamma(Q, u^*W^+)^{Spin^c}$ is a non-vanishing section.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $(u, \mathsf{A}) \in \mathcal{S} \times \mathscr{A}$ be such that $D_{\mathsf{A}}u = 0$ and the image of u does not contain any fixed points of the $U(1)$-action. This has the consequence that $d(\mu \circ u) = \langle d\mu, D_{\mathsf{A}}u\rangle = 0$, which implies that $\mu \circ u$ is constant. Under the isomorphism $\Phi : \mathfrak{sp}(1)^* \to \Lambda^2_+(\mathbb{R}^4)^*$, the map $\mu \circ u : Q \longrightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ defines a non-degenerate, self-dual 2-form $\omega := \Phi(\mu \circ u)$ on X. We treat ω as an element in $\mathrm{Map}(Q, \Lambda^2_+(\mathbb{R}^4)^*)^{Spin^c}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla^{\mathsf{A},\psi}(\omega \bullet (\mathcal{X}_0 \circ u)) &= (D_\phi\omega) \bullet \mathcal{X}_0 \circ u + \omega \bullet \nabla^{\mathsf{A},\psi}(\mathcal{X}_0 \circ u) \\ &= (D_\phi\omega) \bullet \mathcal{X}_0 \circ u + \omega \bullet D_{\mathsf{A}}u \\ &= (D_\phi\omega) \bullet \mathcal{X}_0 \circ u \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Consider the left hand side of (14)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \bullet (\mathcal{X}_0 \circ u) &= (\mu_1 \circ u) \cdot (e_0 \wedge e_1 + e_2 \wedge e_3) \bullet \mathcal{X}_0 \circ u \\ &+ (\mu_2 \circ u) \cdot (e_0 \wedge e_2 - e_1 \wedge e_3) \bullet \mathcal{X}_0 \circ u \\ &+ (\mu_3 \circ u) \cdot (e_0 \wedge e_3 + e_1 \wedge e_2) \bullet \mathcal{X}_0 \circ u \\ &= (\mu_1 \circ u) \cdot ((e_0 \cdot e_1) \bullet \mathcal{X}_0 \circ u + (e_2 \cdot e_3) \bullet \mathcal{X}_0 \circ u) \\ &+ (\mu_2 \circ u) \cdot ((e_0 \cdot e_2) \bullet \mathcal{X}_0 \circ u - (e_1 \cdot e_3) \bullet \mathcal{X}_0 \circ u) \\ &+ (\mu_3 \circ u) \cdot ((e_0 \cdot e_3) \bullet \mathcal{X}_0 \circ u + (e_1 \cdot e_2) \bullet \mathcal{X}_0 \circ u) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{3} (\mu_l \circ u)\ I_l\ (\mathcal{X}_0 \circ u) \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu \circ u$ is constant, this implies that $\mu_l \circ u$ are constant for all $l = 1, 2, 3$. Therefore the left hand side of the eq. (14) reads

$$\nabla^{\mathsf{A},\psi}(\omega \bullet \mathcal{X}_0 \circ u) = \nabla^{\mathsf{A},\psi}\left(\sum_{l=1}^{3}(\mu_l \circ u)\ I_l\left(\mathcal{X}_0 \circ u\right)\right)$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{3}(\mu_l \circ u)\ \nabla^{\mathsf{A},\psi}\left(I_l\left(\mathcal{X}_0 \circ u\right)\right) \tag{15}$$

$$= \sum_{l=1}^{3}(\mu_l \circ u)\ I_l(D_{\mathsf{A}}u) \tag{16}$$

$$= 0 \tag{17}$$

Note that we have used Lemma 2.4 in the third step. Substituting in (14) we get

$$(D_\phi\omega) \bullet \mathcal{X}_0 \circ u = 0 \tag{18}$$

We can think of $D_\phi\omega$ as a one-form with values in the space of skew-adjoint, traceless endomorphisms of $W^+ \oplus W^-$

$$T_xX \ni v \longmapsto D_\phi\omega(v)|_x \bullet (\cdot)$$

Since, from (18), one of the eigenvalues of $D_\phi\omega|_x$ is zero, it follows that the other one is zero as well. This is true for all $x \in X$. Thus we get $D_\phi\omega = 0$ or alternatively, interpreting $\omega \in \Gamma(X, \Lambda^2_+(X))$, we have $\nabla\omega = 0$.

Complex structure. If β is some non-degenerate, self-dual 2-from on X such that $D_\phi\beta = 0$, then one can suitably modify the metric, from $g_X \rightsquigarrow g'_X$ so that $|\beta| = \sqrt{2}$. Therefore if $\mathfrak{s}\Lambda^2_+(X)$ (*a.k.a twistor space*) denotes the sphere bundle in $\Lambda^2_+(X)$, then $\beta \in \Gamma(X, (\mathfrak{s}\Lambda^2_+(X))')$ and determines an almost-complex structure J_β on X. As the Levi-Civita connection remains unchanged, we get $D_\phi\beta = 0$ and therefore J_β is integrable. Thus ω defines a Kähler structure on X, compatible with the metric g'_X. □

4. Kähler and symplectic structures for case of hyperKähler reduction

In this section, we consider the case where M is a hyperKähler reduction of some flat-space $\mathbb{H}^n$. For such hyperKähler manifolds, it is possible to construct both the Kähler and symplectic structures on X. The idea here involves *lifting* the Dirac equation suitably for maps taking values in $\mathbb{H}^n$.

Let $H \subset Sp(n)$ be a compact, unitary group, acting hyper-Hamiltonianly on $\mathbb{H}^n$ and $\mu_H : \mathbb{H}^n \longrightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(1)^* \otimes \mathfrak{h}^*$ denote the associated moment map. Assume also that H acts freely and properly on $\mu_H^{-1}(0)$ and let $M_H := \mu_H^{-1}(0)/H$. If $Sp(1)$-preserves $\mu_H^{-1}(0)$, then, the permuting action of $Sp(1)$ on $\mathbb{H}^n$ descends to a permuting action on M_H. Given a hyper-Hamiltonian $U(1)$-action on $\mathbb{H}^n$, that commutes with the H-action and preserves $\mu_H^{-1}(0)$, it descends to a hyper-Hamiltonian action on M_H.

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_{\widehat{H}} & \xrightarrow{\widehat{u}} & P \subset \mathbb{H}^n \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_2} \\ Q & \xrightarrow{u} & M_H \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi} & & \\ X & & \end{array} \tag{19}$$

Here π_1 is a $Spin^c$-equivariant submersion, $P := \mu_H^{-1}(0)$ is the principal H-bundle over M_H. Note that $P_{\widehat{H}} \longrightarrow X$ which is a principal H-bundle over Q. Let $\widehat{u}$ be a smooth map. Define $u : Q \longrightarrow M_H$ as

$$u(q) = \pi_2(\widehat{u}(p)), \quad q \in Q, \quad p \in \pi_1^{-1}(q)$$

Clearly, the diagram commutes. On the other hand, given a smooth spinor $u : Q \longrightarrow M_H$, it defines a principal H-bundle over Q via pull-back of P. The pull-back of the canonical connection a on P, defined as

$$K_{\mathsf{a}}^{P,H}|_p(v) = -\operatorname{pr}^{\operatorname{im} K^{P,H}}(v), \quad v \in T_pP$$

by $\widehat{u}$ along with the connection A on Q uniquely define a connection A on $P_{\widehat{H}}$

$$\mathrm{A} = \pi^*\mathsf{A} \oplus \mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{h}} \in \Lambda^1 \left(P_{\widehat{H}},\ \mathfrak{spin}(4) \oplus \mathfrak{h}\right)^{H \times Spin^c(4)} \tag{20}$$

where $\mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{h}} = \widehat{u}^*\mathsf{a} - \langle \pi^*\mathsf{A}, \iota_{\mathfrak{spin}(4)}\widehat{u}^*\mathsf{a} \rangle$.

Proposition 4.1. *Then, there is a 1-1 correspondence between*

$$\{(\widehat{u}, \mathrm{A}) \mid \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u} = 0, \quad \mu_H \circ \widehat{u} = 0\} \quad \textit{and} \quad \{(u, \mathsf{A}) \mid \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{A}}u = 0\} \tag{21}$$

where, u is the projection of $\widehat{u}$ to Q, and also between

$$\{(\widehat{u}, \mathrm{A}) \mid D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u} = 0, \quad \mu_H \circ \widehat{u} = 0\} \quad \textit{and} \quad \{(u, \mathsf{A}) \mid D_{\mathsf{A}}u = 0\} \tag{22}$$

Proof. To begin with, note that the condition $\mu_H \circ \widehat{u} = 0$ means that the map $\widehat{u}$ is non-vanishing, since the action of H on $\mu_H^{-1}(0)$ is free.

For $h \in \mathbb{H}^n$, define $\mathcal{H}_h := \ker d\mu_H(h) \cap (\operatorname{im} K^{\mathbb{H}^n,H})^{\perp}$. It is easy to see that if $\mu_H(h) = 0$, then $\mathcal{H}_h$ is just the horizontal subspace over $h \in \mu_G^{-1}(0)$ w.r.t the canonical connection a.

We will prove the proposition in three steps. In what follows, we shall denote the H and $Spin^c$-components of A by $\mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ and $\widehat{\mathsf{A}}$ respectively.

Step 1: In the first step we will prove that $\mathbb{I}_\xi D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(v) \in \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{u}}$ for every $\xi \in \mathfrak{sp}(1)$ and $v \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{A}} \subset TP_{\widehat{H}}$. Indeed, if $\mu_H \circ \widehat{u} = 0$, then $d\widehat{u}(v) \in \ker d\mu_H(\widehat{u}(p))$. Also, $K^{\mathbb{H}^n,H}_{\mathrm{A}_\mathfrak{h}}|_{\widehat{u}} \in \ker d\mu_H(\widehat{u}(p))$ and $K^{\mathbb{H}^n,Spin^c}_{\widehat{\mathsf{A}}}|_{\widehat{u}} \in \ker d\mu_H(\widehat{u}(p))$. Therefore, $D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(v) \in \ker d\mu_H(\widehat{u}(p))$. Consequently

$$0 = \langle d\mu_H(D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(v)), \xi \otimes \eta\rangle = \langle \mathbb{I}_\xi K^{\mathbb{H}^n,H}_\eta|_{\widehat{u}(p)}, D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(v)\rangle = -\langle K^{\mathbb{H}^n,H}_\eta|_{\widehat{u}(p)}, \mathbb{I}_\xi D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(v)\rangle$$

for $\xi \in \mathfrak{sp}(1),\ \eta \in \mathfrak{h}$. In other words, $\mathbb{I}_\xi D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(v) \in (\operatorname{im} K^{\mathbb{H}^n,H})^\perp$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{sp}(1)$. Also, for $\xi' \in \mathfrak{sp}(1)$,

$$\langle d\mu_G(\mathbb{I}_{\xi'} D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(v)), \xi \otimes \eta\rangle = \langle d\mu_G(D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(v)), [\xi', \xi] \otimes \eta\rangle = 0$$

which implies $\mathbb{I}_\xi D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(v) \in \ker d\mu_G(\widehat{u}(p))$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{sp}(1)$. Therefore, $\mathbb{I}_\xi D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(v) \in \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{u}}$.

Step 2: If $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u} = 0$, then from (10), we have

$$0 = D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(\tilde{e_0}) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} I_i D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(\tilde{e_i})$$

From Step 1, we get $D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(\tilde{e_0}) \in \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{u}}$. It follows that $D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(\tilde{e_i}) \in \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{u}}$ for all $i = 1, 2, 3$. Consequently, for any $v \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$, $\operatorname{pr}^{\operatorname{im} K^{\mathbb{H}^n,H}} D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(v) = 0$ and we get $K^{\mathbb{H}^n,H}_{\mathrm{A}_\mathfrak{h}(v)} = -\operatorname{pr}^{\operatorname{im} K^{\mathbb{H}^n,H}} d\widehat{u}(v)$. In other words, the $\mathfrak{h}$-connection component of A is just the pull-back of the canonical connection on the Riemannian submersion $\mu_H^{-1}(0)$.

Since the diagram commutes, $d\pi_2(D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}) = D_{\mathsf{A}}u$. Also, as $D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(\tilde{e_i}) \in \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{u}}$ for all $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= d\pi_2(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}) \\
&= d\pi_2\left(D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(\tilde{e_0}) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \iota^* I_i\ D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(\tilde{e_i})\right) \\
&= d\pi_2(D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(\tilde{e_0})) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \pi_2^*\tilde{I}_i\ d\pi_2(D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(\tilde{e_i})) \\
&= D_{\mathsf{A}}u(\tilde{e_0}) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \tilde{I}_i\ D_{\mathsf{A}}u(\tilde{e_i}) \\
&= \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{A}}u
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u} = 0$ implies $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{A}}u = 0$. On the other hand if $K^{\mathbb{H}^n,H}_{\mathrm{A}_\mathfrak{h}(v)} = -\operatorname{pr}^{\operatorname{im} K^{\mathbb{H}^n,H}} d\widehat{u}(v)$, then $D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u} \in \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{u}}$ and so $d\pi_2(\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}) = \mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{A}}u$. Therefore, if $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{A}}u = 0$, it implies that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u} \in \operatorname{im} K^{\mathbb{H}^n,H}$. But since,

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u} = D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(\tilde{e_0}) - \sum_{i=1}^{3} \pi_2^* I_i\ D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}(\tilde{e_i}) \in \mathcal{H}_{\widehat{u}}$$

it follows that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u} \in (\operatorname{im} K^{\mathbb{H}^n,H})^\perp$ and so $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u} = 0$.

Step 3: Using an argument verbatim to the one in Step 2 above, we can prove that there is a 1-1 correspondence between

$$\{D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}=0,\quad \mu_H\circ\widehat{u}=0\}\quad\text{and}\quad\{D_{\mathsf{A}}u=0\} \tag{23}$$

This proves the statement.

□

Kähler and symplectic structures. Proposition 4.1 allows us to use the tools for the usual *Spin*-Dirac operator.

For the rest of the section, assume that the $\mathfrak{h}$-component of a connection A is given by $\mathrm{A}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ as in (20).

Lemma 4.2. *Given a non-vanishing spinor $\widehat{u}: P_{\widehat{H}}\longrightarrow\mathbb{H}^n$, there exists a unique connection* A *such that $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}=0$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of the fact that since $\widehat{u}$ is non-vanishing, Clifford multiplication is modelled on quaternionic multiplication. Since the $\mathfrak{h}$-component of the connection is given by the pull-back of the canonical connection on Riemannian submersion $\mu_H^{-1}(0)$, the only variable is the $U(1)$-conection component.

Let A be the lift of a connection A on Q, determined by a $U(1)$-connection A. For a connection $\mathrm{A}'=\mathrm{A}+i\alpha$, $\alpha\in T^*X$, we have $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{A}+i\alpha}\widehat{u}=\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}+i\alpha\bullet\widehat{u}$. To solve $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{A}+i\alpha}\widehat{u}=0$, we need to solve $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}=-i\alpha\bullet\widehat{u}$.

Consider the map

$$\mathbb{R}^4\otimes\mathbb{H}^{n^*}\longrightarrow\mathbb{H}^{n^*},\quad a\otimes h\longmapsto a\bullet h=h\cdot\bar{a}$$

Fix $h_0\in\mathbb{H}^{n^*}$ and let $h'\in\mathbb{H}^{n^*}$. Define $a:=\dfrac{\bar{h'}^t\cdot h_0}{|h_0|^2}\in\mathbb{H}\cong\mathbb{R}^4$. Then,

$$a\bullet h_0=h_0\cdot\frac{\bar{h}_0^t\cdot h'}{|h_0|^2}=h'$$

Thus, for a a fixed h_0 and any h', there exists an $a\in\mathbb{R}^4$ such that $a\bullet h_0=h'$. In other words, $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}=-i\alpha\bullet\widehat{u}$ always has a solution. The uniqueness of the connection A follows from the unique continuation property of the Dirac operator.

□

Observe that if we change the $U(1)$-connection, then $D_{\mathrm{A}'}\widehat{u}=D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}+i\alpha\bullet\widehat{u}$. In other words, the covariant derivative changes along the direction of the $U(1)$-orbit of $\widehat{u}$.

Proposition 4.3. [Sco02, Corollary 3.11]

$$\|\widehat{u}\|^2\cdot D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}=i(D_\phi\omega)\bullet\widehat{u}+\langle D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u},\ i\widehat{u}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}\cdot i\widehat{u}$$

Consequently, if $D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}=0$, then ω defines a Kähler structure on the base manifold, compatible with a metric which is a scalar multiple of g_X.

Proof. The hyperKähler $U(1)$-moment map is given by

$$\mu:\mathbb{H}^n\longrightarrow\mathfrak{sp}(1)^*,\quad \mu(h)=\frac{1}{2}\bar{h}^t ih$$

Therefore $\omega\bullet\widehat{u}=(\mu\circ\widehat{u})\bullet\widehat{u}=-\dfrac{i}{2}\|\widehat{u}\|^2\cdot\widehat{u}$. The identity now follows from Corollary 3.11 of [Sco02].

□

Composed with Clifford multiplication, we obtain the *splitting formula for Dirac operator*

Proposition 4.4. [Sco02, Theorem 3.15] *Given a $H \times Spin^c$-structure $P_{\widehat{H}}$ and a connection A on $P_{\widehat{H}}$, we have*

$$\|\widehat{u}\|^2 \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{A}} \widehat{u} = i\,(2d^*\omega + \langle D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}, i\widehat{u}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}}) \bullet \widehat{u} \tag{24}$$

Therefore, if $\langle D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}, i\widehat{u}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$, then every harmonic spinor $\widehat{u}$ defines a symplectic structure on the base manifold, compatible with a metric conformal to g_X.

Note. Using the existence argument as in Lemma 4.2, one can prove that there exists a unique connection A' such that $\langle D_{\mathrm{A}'}\widehat{u}, i\widehat{u}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$

Remark 1. It is worth noting that every non-vanishing, covariantly constant/ harmonic spinor defines the same Kähler/symplectic structure. Indeed, one can think of μ as a quadratic map

$$\mu : S^{4n-1} \longrightarrow S^2 \tag{25}$$

where S^{4n-1} is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{H}^n$ and S^2 is the unit sphere in $\Lambda^2_+(\mathbb{R}^4)$. For $m_1 \geq 2m_2$, every polynomial map from S^{m_1} to S^{m_2} is a constant map [Woo68]. Therefore, for $n > 1$, $\mu : S^{4n-1} \longrightarrow S^2$, μ is a constant map.

This has the following consequence: let A' be the unique connection such that $\langle D_{\mathrm{A}'}\widehat{u}, i\widehat{u}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$. Then, from Proposition 4.3 and Theorem 4.4 we conclude

Lemma 4.5. *If there exists a non-vanishing spinor $\widehat{u}$ which is covariantly constant with respect to the unique connection A' such that $\langle D_{\mathrm{A}'}\widehat{u}, i\widehat{u}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$, then every non-vanishing spinor is covariantly constant w.r.t a unique connection and the defines the same complex structure.*

Similarly, every harmonic spinor, satisfying $\langle D_{\mathrm{A}}\widehat{u}, i\widehat{u}\rangle_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$ defines the same symplectic structure on X.

Remark 2. One can repeat the entire argument in Theorems 1.1, 1.2 and 1.3 for $G = U(n)$ instead of $U(1)$. One only needs to observe that the $U(n)$-moment map splits into 2 components

$$\mu_{\mathfrak{u}(n)} = \mu_{\mathfrak{su}(n)} + \mu_{\mathfrak{u}(1)}$$

and $\mathfrak{u}(1)$-component defines a self-dual 2-form on X.

5. Equivalence of $Spin^c$−structures

Let (X, g_X) be an oriented, compact, 4-dimensional manifold and let $Q \longrightarrow X$ be a fixed $Spin^c$-structure. A non-degenerate, self-dual 2-form $\beta \in \Gamma(X, \mathfrak{s}\Lambda^2_+(X))$ defines an almost-complex structure J on X, which is compatible with a metric in the conformal class of g_X. Then (β, J) define a $Spin^c$-structure $Q_\beta \longrightarrow X$.

Proposition 5.1. *Let M be as in Theorem 1.1 or Theorem 1.2 and $u \in \mathcal{S}$ be a spinor whose range does not contain a fixed point of the $U(1)$-action. Let A be a connection on $P_{U(1)}$ and A be the induced connection on Q. If $D_{\mathsf{A}}u = 0$, then the $Spin^c$-structure Q_ω is isomorphic to Q.*

Proof. The condition $D_{\mathsf{A}}u = 0$ implies that $D_\phi\omega = 0$, or in other words $d(\mu \circ u) = 0$ and thus ω has a constant length. Modifying the metric g_X to a metric $g'_X := c \cdot g_X$ for some $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we may assume that $|\omega| = \sqrt{2}$. The metric g'_X, is thus a Kähler metric defining the $Spin^c$-structure Q_ω.

Any metric $g''_X := e^{2f} g_X$ in the conformal class of g induces an isomorphism between the respective frame bundles

$$P_{SO(4)} \xrightarrow{e^{-\pi^* f}} P''_{SO(4)} \tag{26}$$

where $\pi : P_{SO(4)} \longrightarrow X$. Any point $p \in P$ is a linear isomorphism $p : \mathbb{R}^4 \longrightarrow T_{\pi(p)}X$. Consider $p \in P_{SO(4)}$ and $p'' \in P''_{SO(4)}$ such that $\pi(p) = \pi''(p'') = x$. Then, $p \circ (p'')^{-1} : \mathbb{R}^4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ is an automorphism. But $p'' = e^{-f(x)}p$. Therefore, $p \circ (p'')^{-1}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{R}^4$ obtained by scalar multiplication by $e^{-f(x)}$. If e^{-f} is constant, say c, then the isomorphism is independent of $x \in X$. In other words, we get an isomorphism between $(\mathbb{R}^4, g_{\mathbb{R}^4})$ and $(\mathbb{R}^4, c \cdot g_{\mathbb{R}^4})$, where $g_{\mathbb{R}^4}$ is the standard metric on $\mathbb{R}^4$. This induces an isomorphism of the respective complexified Clifford algebras $\gamma : \mathcal{C}l_4 \otimes \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}l''_4 \otimes \mathbb{C}$ which preserves the positive elements and therefore, induces an isomorphism of the respective $Spin^c$ groups $\gamma : Spin^c(4) \longrightarrow (Spin^c(4))''$. Therefore, if $g'_X := c \cdot g_X$ and Q and Q' denote the respective $Spin^c$-bundles over X, then the map γ induces an isomorphism of the bundles Q and Q'. In conclusion, the $Spin^c$-structure Q_ω is isomorphic to Q.

□

6. Constant Scalar curvature

In this section, we show that if the solution space to equations (13) contains a pair (u, A) such that $D_{\mathsf{A}} u = 0$ then the scalar curvature of the base 4-dimensional manifold is necessarily (negative) constant.

Let M be as in Theorem 1.1 or Theorem 1.2. If a pair (u, A) satisfies $D_{\mathsf{A}} u = 0$, we get $\mathcal{D}_{\mathsf{A}} u = 0$ and the Weitzenböck formula (2.6) gives

$$0 = \frac{s_X}{4} \mathcal{X}_0 \circ u + \mathcal{Y}(F_A^+)|_u$$

Take the inner product on both the sides, with $\mathcal{X}_0 \circ u$ to get

$$0 = \frac{s_X}{4} \cdot \rho_0 \circ u + \langle \mu \circ u, F_A^+ \rangle \tag{27}$$

The second term in the above expression is computed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathcal{Y}(F_A^+)|_u, \mathcal{X}_0 \circ u \rangle &= \sum_{l=1}^{3} \left\langle I_l K^M_{\langle F_A^+, \xi_l \rangle}|_u, I_l K^M_{\xi_l}|_u \right\rangle = \sum_{l=1}^{3} \left\langle K^M_{\langle F_A^+, \xi_l \rangle}|_u, K^M_{\xi_l}|_u \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{3} \mu(\xi_l \otimes \langle F_A^+, \xi_l \rangle) \circ u = \langle \mu \circ u, F_A^+ \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Since $D_{\mathsf{A}} u = 0$, $d(\rho_0 \circ u) = \langle d\rho_0, D_{\mathsf{A}} u \rangle = 0$, $\rho_0 \circ u = c$, a constant. Therefore,

$$-\frac{s_X}{4} \cdot \rho_0 \circ u = \langle \mu \circ u, F_A^+ \rangle$$

If the pair (u, A) is a solution to generalized Seiberg-Witten equations (13), then

$$-\frac{s_X}{4} \cdot \rho_0 \circ u = |\mu \circ u|^2$$

Since $\rho_0 \circ u$ is a positive constant, this implies s_X is a negative constant. Therefore, the metric on X is a metric of constant scalar curvature. Since the metric defined by the Kähler structure is a scalar multiple of g_X, it follows that the Kähler structure defined by $\Phi(\mu \circ u)$ is of constant scalar curvature.

Appendix A. Vector bundles and connections

Let $\pi_E : E \longrightarrow X$ be a vector bundle. Then consider $T\pi_E : TE \longrightarrow TX$. Then $\mathcal{V}_E \subset \ker(T\pi_E) \subset TE$ is called the *verticle sub-bundle*. A connection on E is a choice of a smooth *horizontal sub-bundle* $\mathcal{H}_E$ such that $TE = \mathcal{H}_E \oplus \mathcal{V}_E$. Denote by $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{H}_E}$ the projections to the verticle and the horizontal sub-bundles respectively. A connection on E is said to be linear if $\mathrm{pr}_{\mathcal{V}_E}$ is linear w.r.t $T\pi_E$

Verticle lift. Consider the pull-back bundle $E \times_M E$. Then, the map

$$\mathrm{vl}_E : E \times_M E \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}_E, \quad (v, w) \longmapsto \frac{d}{dt}(v + tw)|_{t=0}$$

gives an isomorphism of vector bundle over E and is called a *vertical lift*.

Connector. A *connector* is a smooth map $K : TE \longrightarrow E$ is a smooth map that satisfies $K \circ \mathrm{vl}_E = \mathrm{pr}_2 : E \times_M E \longrightarrow E$ and is a vector bundle homomorphism for both the vector bundle structures on E; i.e $T\pi_E : TE \longrightarrow TX$ and $\pi : TE \longrightarrow E$.

Given a linear connection $\Phi : TE \longrightarrow TE$, its connector is given by the composition

$$TE \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{V}_E \xrightarrow{(\mathrm{vl}_E)^{-1}} E \times_X E \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_2} E$$

A connector on E induces a covariant derivative

$$\nabla^K : \Gamma(X, E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, T^*X \otimes E)$$
$$\nabla^K_v(s) = K(Ts(v)) \quad \text{for} \quad v \in TX, \quad s \in \Gamma(X, E)$$

Appendix B. Principal bundles and covariant derivatives on associated bundles

Let $\pi_P : P \longrightarrow X$ be a principal G-bundle and M be a manifold with a smooth action of G.

Theorem B.1. [Bau09, Satz 3.5] *There is a bijection between the space of G-equivariant maps from $P \to M$ and the sections of the fibre bundle $\mathcal{F} := P \times_G M \longrightarrow X$*

$$C^\infty(P, M)^G \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{F})$$
$$u \mapsto s_u, \quad \textit{where} \quad s_u(x) = [p, u(p)] \quad \textit{and} \quad \pi_P(p) = x \tag{28}$$

Covariant derivatives on asociated fibre-bundles. Let $A \in \Lambda^1(P, \mathfrak{g})^G$ be a connection on P. We define the covariant derivative of $u \in \mathrm{Map}(P, M)^G$ w.r.t as

$$D_A u = Tu + K^M_A|_u \in \mathrm{Hom}(TP, TM)_{hor}$$

where $K^M_A|_u : TP \to u^*TM$ is vector bundle homomorphism and the subscript "hor" implies that $D_A u$ vanishes for all $v \in \mathcal{V}_{TP}$

$$K^M_A|_u(v) = K^M_{A(v)}|_{u(p)}, \quad v \in T_pP$$

Proposition B.2. [KM97, Theorem 42.1] *Let X be a compact manifold. Then the space $\mathrm{Map}(P, M)^G$ is a Frechét manifold modelled on topological vector spaces:*

$$T_u \mathrm{Map}(P, M)^G = \Gamma(P, u^*TM)^G$$

Therfore the covariant derivative can be interpreted as a section of the infinite-dimensional Frechét vector-bundle:

$$\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_A, TM)^G \underset{\Pi}{\overset{D_A}{\rightleftarrows}} \operatorname{Map}(P, M)^G$$

Note that

$$T\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_A, TM)^G = \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_A, TTM)^G, \quad \mathcal{V}\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_A, TM)^G = \operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_A, \mathcal{V}TM)^G$$

A connector $\psi : TTM \longrightarrow TM$ induces a connector Ψ on $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathcal{H}_A, TM)^G$. Using this, one can compute the linearization of $\nabla^\Psi D_A$.

Lemma B.3. [Sch10, Section 2.4] *The linearization $\nabla^\Psi D_A$ of the covariant derivative coincides with the first-order differential operator*

$$\nabla^{A,\psi} : \ C^\infty(P, TM)^G \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(TP, TM)^G_{hor}, \quad v \longmapsto \psi \circ Tv \circ \operatorname{pr}_{\mathcal{H}_A} \tag{29}$$

References

[ADHM78] M. F. Atiyah, V. G. Drinfeld̀, N. J. Hitchin, and Y. I. Manin. Construction of instantons. *Phys. Lett. A*, 65(3):185–187, 1978.

[Bau09] H. Baum. *Eichfeldtheorie. Eine Einführung in die Differentialgeometrie auf Faserbündeln*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.

[BLPR00] Y. Byun, Y. Lee, J. Park, and J. S. Ryu. Constructing the Kähler and the Symplectic structures from certain spinors on 4-manifolds. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 129(4):1161–1168, 2000.

[HKLR87] N. J. Hitchin, A. Karlhede, U. Lindström, and M. Roček. Hyperkähler metric and Supersymmetry. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 108(4):535–589, 1987.

[KM97] A. Kriegl and P. Michor. *The Convenient Setting of Global Analysis*, volume 53 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.

[Kro88] P. B. Kronheimer. A hyperkähler structure on the cotangent bundle of a complex Lie group. http://www.math.harvard.edu/~kronheim/hkgc.pdf, 1988. Pre-print.

[Kro90] P. B. Kronheimer. A hyper-Kählerian structure on coadjoint orbits of a Semisimple complex group. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, s2-42(2):193–208, October 01 1990.

[KS96] P. Z. Kobak and A. Swann. Classical nil-potent orbits as hyperkähler quotients. *Int. J. Math.*, 07(02):193–210, 1996.

[Pid04] V. Ya. Pidstrygach. HyperKähler manifolds and Seiberg-Witten equations. *Proc. Steklov Inst. Math.*, pages 249–262, 2004.

[Sch10] H. Schumacher. Generalized Seiberg-Witten equations: Swann bundles and L^∞-estimates. Master's thesis, Mathematisches Institut, Georg-August-Universität, Göttingen, http://www.uni-math.gwdg.de/preprint/mg.2010.02.pdf, 2010.

[Sco02] A. Scorpan. Nowhere zero harmonic spinors and self-dual 2-forms. *Commun. Contemp. Math*, 4(1):45–64, 2002.

[Swa91] A. Swann. HyperKähler and Quaternionic Kähler geometry. *Math. Ann.*, 3:421–450, 1991.

[Tau99] C. H. Taubes. Nonlinear Generalizations of a 3-manifold's Dirac operator. In *Trends in mathematical physics (Knoxville, TN, 1998), AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, volume 13, pages 475–486. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999.

[Woo68] R. Wood. Polynomial maps from spheres to spheres. *Invent. Math.*, 5(3):163–168, 1968.

Current address: Mathematics dept., Harish-Chandra Research Institute, Allahabad, India

E-mail address: varunthakre@hri.res.in